

Brett L. Foster, 6089
bfoster@hollandhart.com
Mark A. Miller, 9563
mmiller@hollandhart.com
Christopher B. Hadley, 14055
cbhadley@hollandhart.com
HOLLAND & HART LLP
222 S. Main Street, Suite 2200
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
Telephone: (801) 799-5800
Facsimile: (801) 799-5700

Attorneys for Defendants
Hydro Engineering Inc. and
CA Cleaning Systems, Inc.

Stephen M. Lobbin (admitted *pro hac vice*)
ONE LLP
4000 MacArthur Boulevard, Suite 500
Newport Beach, California 92660
Tel: 949.502.2870

Mark W. Ford (10659)
Rachel Jacques (13250)
MASCHOFF BRENNAN
1389 Center Drive, Suite 300
Park City, Utah 84098
Tel: 435.575.1387

Attorneys for Plaintiff Petter
Investments, Inc. d/b/a RIVEER

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION**

**PETTER INVESTMENTS, INC. d/b/a
RIVEER**, a Michigan corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HYDRO ENGINEERING, INC., a Utah
corporation; and **CALIFORNIA CLEANING
SYSTEMS, INC.**, a California company,

Defendants.

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
JOINT STIPULATED MOTION FOR
ADJUDICATION OF PLAINTIFF'S
SECOND AND THIRD CLAIMS FOR
RELIEF**

Civil Case No. 2:14-CV-00045-DB-DBP

Judge Dee Benson

ORDER

Pursuant to the parties' stipulated motion in view of this Court's March 27, 2015 Claim Construction Order (Dkt. No. 235), IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. On Plaintiff Riveer's Second Claim for Relief, the Hydro Defendants' accused infringing "Drag Conveyor" product does not infringe the asserted U.S. Patent No. 8,499,774.
2. On Plaintiff Riveer's Third Claim for Relief, the Hydro Defendants' accused infringing "Drive-In Clean Out Tray" product does not infringe the asserted U.S. Patent No. 8,506,720.

In view of the foregoing and the Court's prior summary judgment orders, the Court hereby enters summary judgment in Hydro's favor on Plaintiff Riveer's Second and Third Claims for Relief. IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed: _____, 2015

BY THE COURT: _____